

## UNITED STATES LEPART. 1ENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

11622.	COMMISSIONER OF PATERTS AND TRADEMI
	Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY (	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/363.523	07/29/99	JAMMY .	F	99-P-	-7722-US	
- Laterature at 17 to 17 to 1				EXAMINER		
SIEMENS CO	RPORATION	MM91/0621	[II C.	TRADA.M		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY	DEPARTMENT	ART	<del></del>	ER NUMBER	
186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN NJ 08830			28			
			DATE MA	ILED:		
				06.75	24.704	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Apon pant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/363,523	JAMMY ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michelle Estrada	2823						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check only a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for replyexpires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed the the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.								
3.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) 🛭 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search. (s	see NOTE below)	);					
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	below);							
(c) \( \mathbb{I} \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):								
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: none								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-16 and 21-28</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.								
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a	)∏has b)∏ has not been appr	oved by the Exan	niner.					
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
11. Other:								
			•					
	·							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Upon cursory review, the proposed amendment to claims 1, 10 and 21 and the arguments in the amendment filed 06/11/01 do not clearly place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed amendment which has not been entered..

George Fourson
Primary Examiner